AUSTIN PEAY STATE UNIVERSITY

College of Behavior and Health Sciences

Enhanced Peer Evaluation Report

Formative & Summative (Online Peer Observation Form)

Faculty Member Being Evaluated:
Faculty Member's Rank:
Faculty Member's Department:
Semester & Year of Evaluation:
Years of Prior Credit Awarded toward Tenure:
Number of Years of Probationary service at APSU, including present year:
Course Number: (e.g., SOC 3070):
Course Title:
Course Meeting Time/Observation Time for Online (e.g., MWF 9:00 AM $-$ 10 AM):
Number of Students in Class:
Faculty Member Conducting Evaluation:
Date of Observation:
Course Modality:
Face-to-face
Fully Online with Synchronous Sessions
Fully Online Asynchronous Sessions
Hybrid
Please check the appropriate boxes below:
Formative
Summative
Seeking Retention (Years 2-5)
Seeking Retention to 6th year, Tenure or Promotion (only summative required)

Last revised May 5, 2025

Formative Feedback Information: Note meeting must occur with the evaluator and the faculty member being evaluated within one week of the evaluation date.

Date of Meeting:

Time Range: (e.g., met from 10:30-11:00 am)

Meeting Location (if in-person, include Building & Room #I; if virtual, list platform):

Summary of Observed Strengths and Areas for Improvement as discussed with faculty member being evaluated (Please attach another document if necessary):

Summative Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Rating Scale: (1 = Very Ineffective, 2 = Ineffective, 3 = Satisfactory,

4 = Effective, 5 = Very Effective)

Using the scale above, rate the following four dimensions: course design and organization, communication, interaction, and engagement, content mastery and assessment and feedback.

For each of these dimensions, you will see a list of positively phrased illustrative behaviors related to the dimension. (This is not a comprehensive list.) In arriving at your overall rating for a dimension, consider the extent to which these statements are true about the course/module you are evaluating. If the statements are largely true, that would support a higher rating. If the statements are largely false, that would support a lower rating. If you made different observations (strengths or weaknesses) that formed the basis for your evaluation, please note those in the space provided as an explanation for your rating of the dimension.

Please note that teaching effectiveness will be primarily evaluated in classroom visits. However, some dimensions may require the reviewer to take a more holistic view of the course (review of syllabus, course schedule, Learning Management System (LMS) shell, etc.).

Please highlight at least two statements that were particularly influential in the rating you assigned to each dimension, i.e., the illustrative behaviors that were more or less visible in the class session or module you reviewed that guided your overall dimension rating. The statements you identify could be ones you added or some that appear under the dimension heading.

subject matter.

Comments:

I.	Cou	rse Design and Organization	1	2	3	4	5
	A.	The faculty member provides student learning outcomes,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				ourse-
	D						of
	В.	The course is broken into a series Contents.	OI UIIILS LIIAL a	ire clearly vi	isible in the t	ourse rable	OI .
	C.	There is a course Announcement or a Table of Contents entry that explains how students should proceed through the course.					
	D.	Assignment due dates are attache		d items and	appear in th	e course cale	ndar.
	E.						
	F.	Course design supports student a					
	٠.	outcomes and accreditation stand		•	it ievel staat	int icuming	
		Other:					
<u>C</u>	omm	<u>ients:</u>					
		ommunication, Interaction, and ement	1	2	3	4	5
	Н.	The faculty member makes effect	ive use of cou	rse annound	cements to		
		communicate with students (e.g., telling students when the latest grades are					
		posted, posting information about a new research finding).					
	I.	The faculty member provides a fo	rum for stude	nts to ask q	uestions abo	ut	
		course content or course organization board).	ation/expectat	ions (e.g., d	edicated dis	cussion	
	J.	The faculty member provides a fo	rum for stude	nts to ask o	uestions abo	ut	
		course content or course organization					
	K.	The faculty member responds pro	mptly and cor	nstructively	to inquiries 1	rom	
		students (e.g., "Ask a Question" d			•		
	L.	The faculty member communicat		•	nusiasm for t	:he	
		subject matter.					

M. Faculty member supports the expression of diverse points of view on the

N. Other: _____

4

III.	Со	ontent	1	2	3	4	5	
(Ο.	The faculty member's contributions to	the cou	ırse demonstr	ate an appro	opriate level of		
	subject matter expertise.							
F	P. The instructional materials provided support student achievement of student learning							
	_	outcomes or help students answer course questions.						
		. The content of the course reflects current research and practice on the subject matter.						
ŀ	R.							
	c	Disabilities Act. The faculty member uses instructional strategies appropriate to the source centent.						
	S. T.	,						
	١.	The instructional content presented is appropriate to the level of the course (e.g., Graduate level material should not be presented in a lower division course).						
Į	U.	I. Other:						
Cor	<u>~~</u>	nents:						
COI	11111	ients.						
	_	ssessment and Feedback	1	2	3	4	5	
ı	Α.	Students have sufficient opportunity to	demo	nstrate learnii	ng through g	raded		
		assignments.						
	B. Grades are posted in the grade book in a timely manner.C. The faculty member provides meaningful feedback (e.g., narrative comments, rubric							
,	C.	scores) to students on graded work.	urreed	back (e.g., nai	rative com	nents, rubric		
ı	ח	Sufficient information is provided to st	udents	(via the syllah	nus or the ar	ade hook) so		
	υ.	•		•	_	ade book) so		
students understand how their final course grade will be determined. E. Opportunities for students to demonstrate learning appear at regular intervals						tervals		
during the course (e.g., assignments don't all occur in the second half of the course).								
F	F.	The faculty member uses formative, un	graded	assessment a	ctivities to			
		measure student learning.						
(G.	Other:						
Cor	nm	nents:						
v. Gl	lob	al Score for the 4 teaching dimensions.	Place t	the score for e	ach dimens	ion and calculat	te the	
		or the global score:						
		-						
		/5 Course Design and Or	ganiza [.]	tion				
		/5 Communication, Inter	action	, and Engage	ement			
		/5 Content Mastery						
		/5 Assessment and Feed	back					
Иea	n s	score for teaching effectiveness =						

VI. Course Syllabus

As a part of the enhanced peer review, include a review of the course syllabus components (refer to the most up-to-date faculty handbook for additional guidance on developing the syllabus). The candidate should do a self-review of his/her syllabus prior to the course review and share the self-review ratings with the reviewer. Departments may adapt the items as applicable to their discipline.

In the appropriate column, rate each item as met or not met. Offer comments where necessary paying special attention to any items that need attention.

Peer-	
Review	
REVIEW	1. ADA compliant syllabus posted in LMS shell and easily found*
	2. Course Designations to include: Numbers, Title, Credit Hours,
	Semester and Year, Instructor's Name, Office Hours, Office Number,
	Phone, and Email Address
	Course Description and Prerequisites (as indicated in the Bulletin)
	4. Course Objectives (add accreditation standards and SLO's as applicable)
	5. Required Learning Resources, or Textbook, and/or supplemental reading
	6. Course Requirements Examples: Test(s), Reading Lists, Visual Aids,
	Computer Laboratories, Field Trips, etc.
	7. Basis and Methods for Grading. Gradebook posted in LMS and
	reflects what is posted in syllabus.
	8. Attendance Policy
	9. Disability Policy
	10. Policy on Minors
	11. Service Animals in the Classroom
	12. Academic and Classroom Misconduct
	13. Midterm Grades statement if applicable
	14. Caveat (Standard statement that syllabi might change)
	15. Other departmental requirements where applicable
	*Note: The syllabus is to be posted 2 days prior to start of class

Summative Narrative Comments on Observed Strengths and Areas for Improvement

Must include comments on changes between formative and summative evaluations, especially items in the formative summary.

Topics to	comment on	(at a	minimum	١:

- 1. Specific examples of observed strengths.
- 2. Specific examples of observed areas needing improvement.
- 3. Specific recommendations and suggestions for improvement of teaching effectiveness.

Evaluator's Name (print):	
Evaluator's Department:	
Evaluator's Signature:	Date: