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## OVERALL PERFORMANCE

## PROVIDER IMPACT

$66.0 \%$ of points earned
(3) Performance Category

4 scored metrics
40 points available

## OVERALL PERFORMANCE OVER TIME

2017-18

2016-17
2015-16
$71.3 \%$ of points earned
$74.0 \%$ of points earned
68.0\% of points earned
$\mathbf{5 3 . 5}$ out of $\mathbf{7 5}$ points
$\mathbf{5 5 . 5}$ out of $\mathbf{7 5}$ points
$\mathbf{5 1 . 0}$ out of $\mathbf{7 5}$ points

Performance Category
(3) Performance Category
(3) Performance Category

## HOW TO READ THIS REPORT

The Educator Preparation Report Card contains four (4) domains: Candidate Profile, Employment, Satisfaction, and Provider Impact. Each domain is comprised of multiple metrics. To date, data has not been collected for the Satisfaction domain, so it will be unscored this year. A provider must have at least ten total completers or licensed, job-embedded candidates and must generate a score on at least one half of the metrics in each domain in order to generate an overall performance category rating. For more information, please refer to the technical guide.

The 2018 Educator Preparation Report Card presents data on the State Board's key priority areas for preparing educators for Tennessee. This is calculated using the percentage of points earned across all metrics. Category 1 represents the lowest performance, and Category 4 represents the highest performance.

The 2018 Educator Preparation Report Card will include data on three cohorts of completers (2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17). Performance on each metric is displayed in the format shown in the graphic on the right.


The score of 77.2 earned this EPP 1.7 of 3
possible points on this metric. This score increased 8.6 percentage points from 2016.
(1) Scores in this range are below the scored range and earn an EPP no points.
(2) This is the scored range. Scores in this range earn an EPP partial points proportionate to their score.
(3) This range is above the target score. Values in this range earn an EPP maximum points.

## ABOUT THIS PROVIDER

## Website

http://www.apsu.edu/education

## Dean

Dr. Prentice Chandler

## Completer Placement Across Tennessee



The Eriksson College of Education offers licensure in twenty different teaching areas at both the undergraduate and graduate level. Graduate programs advance professional skills in teaching, technoglogy, reading, and leadership. The first Ed.D. cohort began in fall 2018. Programs in the Department of Teaching and Learning consist of initial licensuue, while the advanced standards programs are in the Department of Educational Specialties. The College also has 3 academic centers: the Jack Hunt STEM Center, the Center for Rural Education, and the Full Spectrum Learning Center. Office of Teacher Education and Partnerships coordinates clinical placements and works closely with the six partnership districts to improve teacher quality and positively impact P12 learning.

## COMPLETER CHARACTERISTICS



## Enrollment by Ethnicity

| American Indian or |  | $0.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alaska Native |  | $2.3 \%$ |
| Asian |  | $7.4 \%$ |
| Black |  | $4.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | I | $1.2 \%$ |
| Multiracial | I | $0.4 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander |  | $84.5 \%$ |

## Percent of State Three-Year Cohort



State of Residency for Cohort Members


## COMPLETER CHARACTERISTICS CONTINUED

Initial License Type for Cohort Members


## Clinical Practice Type for Cohort Members



Percent of Admission Assessments Submitted to Program*:

| Praxis Core |  | $17.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| SAT |  | $0.2 \%$ |
| ACT |  | $21.9 \%$ |
| Miller Analogies |  | $0.4 \%$ |
| GRE | I | $1.2 \%$ |

*Providers often consider multiple assessments in the admission process; some candidates were admitted using a former version of the Praxis assessment

## CANDIDATE PROFILE

## Percentage of Cohort with Qualifying Assessment

 ScoresThis measure reports the percentage of the cohort with qualifying assessment scores on the ACT, SAT, or all three components of the Praxis: CORE. Providers often consider multiple assessments in the admission process; some candidates were admitted using a former version of the Praxis assessment.

N-Size: 304
Score EPP Score | State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range

The score of 98.4 earned this EPP 2.6 of 3 possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of High-Demand Endorsements

This measure reports the percentage of all endorsements issued in the area of English as a Second Language, Secondary Math, Secondary Science (Biology, Chemistry, and Physics), Spanish, and Special Education (Modified, Comprehensive, and Interventionist). For a complete list of specific endorsement areas, see the Technical Manual.

N-Size: 515

Score EPP Score | State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of 24.5 earned this EPP 6.7 of 10 possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of Racially Diverse Cohort Members

This measure reports the percentage of cohort members who reported having a racially or ethnically diverse background.

N-Size: 515


The score of 15.5 earned this EPP 3.6 of 7 possible points on this metric.

## EMPLOYMENT

## Rate of First-Year Employment in Tennessee Public Schools

This measure reports the rate at which members of the three-year cohort were employed in Tennessee public schools within one year of receiving their initial license.

N-Size: 515

Score EPP Score | State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of 76.9 earned this EPP 5.2 of 6 possible points on this metric.

## Rate of Employment within Three Years In Tennessee

 Public SchoolsThis measure reports the rate at which members of the three-year cohort were employed for at least one year in Tennessee public schools within three years of receiving their initial license.

N-Size: 191



This metric is unscored

Score EPP Score I State Score $\square$ Possible Scoring Range


The score of 95.4 earned this EPP 8.9 of 9 possible points on this metric.

## Third Year Retention Rate

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who were employed and remain teaching in Tennessee public schools for three years running.

N-Size: 158

Score


Possible Scoring Range

This metric is unscored

## PROVIDER IMPACT

## Percentage of Cohort Members whose Classroom Observation Scores are Level 3 or Above

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who earned a Classroom Observation score of at least a 3 ("At Expectations").

N-Size: 402


The score of 91.8 earned this EPP 4.2 of 6 possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of Cohort Members whose Classroom Observation Scores are Level 4 or Above

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who earned a Classroom Observation score of at least a 4 ("Above Expectations").

N-Size: 402


The score of $\mathbf{5 0 . 2}$ earned this EPP 4.8 of 9 possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of Cohort Members whose Student Growth Scores (TVAAS*) are Level 3 or Above

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who earned a Student Growth Score (TVAAS*) of at least a 3 ("At Expectations").

N-Size: 181

45.5
69.9

The score of 63 earned this EPP 7.2 of 10 possible points on this metric.

## Percentage of Cohort Members whose Overall Level of Effectiveness Scores are Level 3 or Above

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who earned an overall level of effectiveness score of at least 3 ("At Expectations"). Overall Level of Effectiveness includes all components of a teacher's annual evaluation by state law and policy. N-Size: 387


## Percentage of Cohort Members whose Student Growth Scores (TVAAS*) are Level 4 or Above

This measure reports the percentage of members of the three-year cohort who earned a Student Growth Score (TVAAS*) of at least a 4 ("Above Expectations").

N -Size: 181

Possible Scoring Range
28.7
9.1
37.7

The score of 28.7 earned this EPP 10.3 of 15 possible points on this metric.
Score EPP Score | State Score


| Score | - EPP Score \| State Score | Possible Scoring Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 92.2 |
|  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 100 |
|  | This metric is unscored |  |



N-Size: 387

## SEE HOW THE PROVIDER IMPACT METRICS ARE CALCULATED

*Due to challenges experienced with statewide student assessment in the 2017-18 school year, state law held students, teachers, and schools harmless from adverse actions based on results of those assessments. The data included in this report ensure providers are held harmless if any of their completers chose not to count their 2017-18 evaluation results due to assessment irregularities. To learn how this was accounted for in the data, click here. To view the relevant legislation, click here. To read a report conducted by a third-party research organization regarding the effect of assessment delivery challenges on student results, click here.

